EXHIBIT A

1	BLOOD HURST & O'REARDON, LLP	
2	TIMOTHY G. BLOOD (149343) THOMAS J. O'REARDON II (247952)	
3	ALEKSANDR J. YARMOLINETS (276707) 501 West Broadway, Suite 1490	
4	San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: 619/338-1100	
5	619/338-1101 (fax) tblood@bholaw.com toreardon@bholaw.com	San Francisco County Superior Court
6	ayarmolinets@bholaw.com	JUN 23 2020
7	ROBBINS LLP KEVIN A. SEELY (199982)	CLERK OF THE COURT
8	STEVEN M. MCKANY (271405) DARNELL R. DONAHUE (281926)	BY: Kalens Ashris Deputy Clerk
9	5040 Shoreham Place San Diego, CA 92122	स्वक्ष्यपु जन्मा।
10	Tel: 619/525-3990 619/525-3991 (fax)	
11	kseely@robbinsllp.com smckany@robbinsllp.com	
12	ddonahue@robbinsllp.com	
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class	
14 15		HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA OF SAN FRANCISCO
16	ROBERT WRIGHT, on Behalf of Himself	Case No: CGC -20-585092
17	and All Others Similarly Situated,	CLASS ACTION
18	Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR:
19	V.	1. VIOLATION OF THE UNFAIR
20	CHARLES SCHWAB & CO., INC.,	COMPETITION LAW, BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE §§ 17200
21	Defendant.	et seq.; 2. NEGLIGENCE; and
22		3. UNJUST ENRICHMENT
23		(UNLIMITED MATTER-Amount demanded exceeds \$25,000)
24		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
25		The second second second second by the A.S. de
26		mas e a V
27		
	i	
28		
28		Case No.

Plaintiff Robert Wright brings this action on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated against defendant Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. ("Schwab") and states:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. Schwab is a retail brokerage firm. Its customers make their own investment trades through Schwab's automated online brokerage system. This class action arises from a malfunction of Schwab's online system that processes certain types of trades in the opposite way instructed by the customer. Because of the malfunction, when customers act to close their "short" trading positions, the malfunction causes the online system to instead purchase "long" positions while keeping the short positions open and active. As a result of Schwab's malfunctioning system, Plaintiff and Class members acquired investments they did not order and were forced to hold investments they instructed Schwab to sell.
- 2. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and others similarly situated to obtain injunctive relief in accordance with the McGill Rule (*McGill v. Citibank, N.A.*, 2 Cal. 5th 945 (2017)) and to recover their monetary losses and allege claims for negligence, violations of California's unfair competition law, and for unjust enrichment.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to Article VI, Section 10 of the California Constitution, because this case is not a cause given by statute to other trial courts.
- 4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant is authorized to and does conduct business in California. Defendant's headquarters and primary place of business is in California, rendering exercise of jurisdiction by California courts permissible.
- 5. Venue is proper in this Court because Defendant is headquartered in this County, Defendant transacts substantial business in this County, and a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claim occurred in this County.

PARTIES

6. Plaintiff Robert Wright is a citizen of the State of California and a resident of Los Angeles County. Plaintiff is a retail customer of defendant Schwab. As described more

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

fully below, on April 20, 2020, Plaintiff submitted trade instructions using Schwab's online trading system to close short positions he owned on Royal Caribbean stock. He did so by clicking "close." However, Schwab's system did not execute the trade as Plaintiff instructed. Instead of closing Plaintiff's short position on 6,300 shares of Royal Caribbean stock which is accomplished by purchasing 6,300 shares of the stock, Schwab's system purchased for Mr. Wright 6,300 long position shares of Royal Caribbean stock, but did not close his short position. This erroneous transaction is because of a malfunction in Schwab's online trading system that does not buy shares and close short positions as the customer instructs; instead, the system improperly buys additional long position shares and keeps open short positions. This happened several times, and Schwab's trading system defect eventually left Plaintiff owning 31,500 shares of a stock (valued at over \$1.1 million) he tried to get out of in the first place. Two days later, on April 22, 2020, Plaintiff again attempted to close short positions on stock he owned. As before, Schwab's trading system did not execute the trade as Plaintiff instructed by closing the short position, but kept open the short position and purchased Plaintiff long positions of the same stock he was attempting to sell. As a result, Plaintiff suffered injury in fact and lost money. Plaintiff continues to maintain and place investment orders through his Schwab brokerage account and desires to keep doing so, provided the malfunction is corrected. Nevertheless, unless and until Schwab corrects the malfunction, Plaintiff will be subjected to Schwab's ongoing conduct complained of in this Complaint.

7. Defendant Schwab is a California corporation with its principal place of business in San Francisco, California Schwab is a registered broker-dealer with the U.S. Security and Exchange Commission in all fifty states and is a member of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. (FINRA). It offers investment products and services, including online brokerage accounts, to retail customers throughout the United States, including tens of thousands of customers throughout California. Schwab's customers maintain more than 12.3 million active brokerage accounts with over \$4 trillion in client assets.

27

28

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Schwab's Online Brokerage Accounts

- 8. Many of Schwab's customers utilize Schwab's online brokerage account system. After setting up an account at Schwab.com and depositing funds into it, the customer can place investment orders online. Once an order is placed, Schwab executes it. Customers can place a wide variety of investment orders online, including buying and selling stocks, bonds, and mutual funds and placing various types of simple and sophisticated trades, including purchasing short positions.
- 9. Like other online brokerage firms, Schwab uses automated systems to process and execute investment orders received from its customers.

Schwab's Trading System Fails to Follow Customer Trading Instructions

- 10. Recently, Schwab's online platform began malfunctioning when customers, including Plaintiff, attempted to close short positions taken on securities.
- 11. A short position refers to a trading technique in which an investor, like Plaintiff, sells a security with plans to profit by repurchasing it later at a lower price. In short selling, a position is opened by borrowing and then selling shares of a stock that the investor believes will decrease in value. Eventually, short sellers must return the shares they borrowed. The investor is betting the share price will decline, and new shares be purchased and given back to the stock lender at a lower price than originally borrowed.
- 12. To sell short, investors sell shares which are borrowed from a broker. Short sellers pay these stock lenders (here, Schwab) fees and interest while the short position is in place or "open."
- 13. Investing in short positions can be particularly profitable during times of significant stock market fluctuation, like that caused by the coronavirus pandemic. To be profitable in a short position, the price of the stock when closed must be lower than the price of the stock when the short position is purchased.
- 14. Short selling has a high risk/reward ratio: It can offer big profits, but losses can mount quickly and infinitely. Thus, it is critically important that the investor be able to end the

2.5

short position timely because if the stock price begins to rise rather than fall, the investor is exposed to significant, theoretically unlimited risk of loss. Ending the short position is known as "closing" it. To close a short position, an investor buys the same number of shares back on the market—hopefully at a price less than the price the investor paid for it—and returns them to the lender or broker. This is known as "buying to close" the short position.

- 15. The following is an example of the lifecycle of a short position: An investor thinks that ABC Company's stock is poised to fall after it reports quarterly results. To take advantage of this possibility, the investor opens a short position by "borrowing" 100 shares of ABC stock from the investment firm the investor uses for trades. At the time the short is opened and the stock sold to another investor, it is trading at \$150 per share (for a total of \$15,000). The investor made a good investment because in the following weeks, ABC Company reports weaker than expected revenue, resulting in ABC Company's shares dropping to \$130. At this moment, the investor closes the short position by purchasing 100 shares of ABC Company for \$130 per share to "cover" the position, thereby giving shares back to his investment firm and closing his short position. The trade results in a profit (before fees and costs) of \$20 per share or \$2,000.
- 16. The opposite of a short position is a "long" position. A long position is buying shares in a company and holding on to them, in hopes that the price of the stock will go up. The goal is to eventually sell the shares for more than you paid for them.
- 17. To purchase a short position at Schwab, investors, including Plaintiff and the Class members, must borrow shares of that stock in a Schwab margin account.
- 18. Schwab charges its customers interest on money borrowed on margin. The margin interest varies, but interest charges are assessed whenever money is borrowed on margin towards the purchase of securities. Interest is charged on the borrowed funds for the period of time the loan is outstanding. For a short position, Schwab charges interest on the price of the stock borrowed. As of May 28, 2020, Schwab's effective margin interest rates were between 6.575% and 8.325%.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

As a result of this malfunction, Schwab's trading system does not buy and
close" short positions as instructed by its customers. Instead, Schwab's system simply adds
shares to the customer's fictitious long position. That is, rather than buy and close as instructed
by Schwab customers, the system incorrectly processes the trade as a buy and not a close. This
results in the customer's short position being kept open and shares of the unwanted stock
being added to the customer's account.
20. The financial consequences of the system's malfunction are significant. For

- 20. The financial consequences of the system's malfunction are significant. For illustrative purposes, assume a Class member is short 100 shares of ABC Company stock. To later close that open short position, the customer must buy 100 shares of ABC Company stock, and so instructs Schwab's online trading system to buy (and therefore close by purchasing) 100 shares of ABC Company. However, because of the defect, Schwab's system incorrectly processes the customer's buy and close instructions as an order to purchase 100 additional shares of ABC Company stock. The customer is then left with an open short position he or she wanted to close, plus additional shares of a stock the customer did not want to buy. Worse yet, these unwanted shares are also purchased on margin, thereby incurring Schwab's margin interest charges, while decreasing the customer's margin buying power.
- 21. Schwab employees admit its automated system is not working correctly. They acknowledge short trades not being closed as instructed, but instead treated as requests to buy and hold the stock long. Schwab has had developers and programmers working on this "big project" to figure out the cause and remedy it.
- Plaintiff and Class members have been and will continue to be harmed by the ongoing trading system malfunction.

Plaintiff's Transactions

- 23. Plaintiff is a frequent trader. In the first quarter of 2020 alone, Plaintiff executed thousands of trades using his online Schwab trading account.
- 24. On April 20, 2020, Plaintiff submitted trade instructions through Schwab to close short positions on 6,300 shares of Royal Caribbean stock (NYSE: RCL). Plaintiff did so as he has done many times before by hovering over the shorted RCL stock on his account

page, clicking "Close RCL" and then confirming the transaction by clicking "Place Order." Closing a short position of 6,300 shares is accomplished through the purchase of an equal number of the same company's shares. In Plaintiff's case, by purchasing 6,300 shares of Royal Caribbean stock. Schwab's trading system should process this transaction as a "buy and close."

- 25. However, Schwab's automated trading system acted contrary to Plaintiff's instructions to buy and close. Instead of purchasing 6,300 shares to buy and close Plaintiff's short position, Schwab's system erroneously purchased 6,300 shares long and kept open Plaintiff's short position.
- 26. Plaintiff noticed he was not closed out of his investment position as requested, and so again instructed Schwab's trading system (by clicking "Close RCL") to buy and "close" through the purchase of 6,300 shares. However, once again, Schwab's system failed to execute Plaintiff's order as instructed. Instead, it purchased another 6,300 shares of Royal Caribbean, but did not close Plaintiff's short position. This happened several times, and Schwab's system malfunction eventually left Plaintiff owning 31,500 shares of a stock he instructed Schwab to sell in the first place. These 31,500 shares Plaintiff never desired were purchased on margin (i.e., on loaned funds) and were valued at over \$1.1 million. This all transpired over a 10-minute period during which Plaintiff repeatedly instructed Schwab's system to close his original position.
- 27. Given the risk of significant financial loss from being loaned over \$1.1 million in Royal Caribbean stock he never wanted to begin with, Plaintiff attempted to mitigate the damages inflicted by Schwab's system malfunction and sold over \$1.1 million in Royal Caribbean stock after-hours at a loss that exceeded \$10,000.
- 28. The purchase of over \$1.1 million in shares also greatly exceeded Plaintiff's margin buying power. This was also the result of Schwab's system malfunction. Because Plaintiff's trading instructions were to "close," Schwab's system ignored Plaintiff's margin buying power and erroneously processed the multiple "close" trades as covering the same position over and over—even though nothing was closed as instructed. Nevertheless, because

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

he was deemed to have exceeded his margin buying power and therefore trading with unsettled funds because of this, Schwab further harmed Plaintiff by removing his margin buying power for 90 days.

- As Schwab representatives later explained, Schwab's system incorrectly 29. processed Plaintiff's transactions as buying long positions while still keeping the short position open. Schwab's system kept adding 6,300 shares of Royal Caribbean stock to Plaintiff's account each time he attempted to close the original short position.
- 30. Approximately two days later, on April 22, 2020, Plaintiff again attempted to close short positions on other Royal Caribbean stock he owned by clicking "Close RCL". As before, Schwab's trading system did not execute the trade as Plaintiff instructed by buying to close his short position on RCL stock. Instead, Schwab's system again incorrectly purchased long positions of the same stock Plaintiff was attempting to sell.
- 31. That same day after experiencing the same defect twice in one week, Plaintiff called and spoke with a Schwab representative. The Schwab representative stated that its automated system is "getting confused and changing the type" of transaction, which results in trades not buying and closing positions as instructed, but adding to them. The Schwab representative further informed, Schwab was aware of the problem and had been working for several months to correct it.
- 32. Approximately one week later, a Resolution Manager in Schwab's Client Advocacy Team contacted Plaintiff and confirmed that Schwab's system failed to perform as Plaintiff instructed and as Schwab intended.
- 33. Despite conceding the defects in its trading system, Schwab has refused to fully compensate Plaintiff for his losses and refused to lift the 90-day restriction on using his margin account for trading.

CLASS DEFINITION AND ALLEGATIONS

34. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated pursuant to Civil Code § 1781, and seeks certification of the following Class:

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

All Schwab brokerage account clients who placed an order to close a short trading position, but the order was not executed as made.

- 35. The Class excludes Schwab's officers and directors, current or former employees, as well as their immediate family members, other broker dealers, as well as any judge, justice or judicial officer presiding over this matter and members of their immediate families and judicial staff.
- 36. *Numerosity*. The members of the Class are so numerous that their individual joinder is impracticable. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the proposed Class contains thousands of members. While the precise number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiff, it is known to Defendant.
- 37. Existence and Predominance of Common Questions of Law and Fact. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class members. All members of the Class have been subject to the same conduct and their claims arise from the same legal claims. The common legal and factual questions include, but are not limited to, the following:
- (a) whether Schwab has a duty to follow the trading instructions of Plaintiff and members of the Class;
 - (b) whether Schwab's trading systems are defective;
- (c) whether Schwab's trading systems code or otherwise record Plaintiff and Class members as in a boxed trading position when they are not;
 - (d) whether Schwab engaged in unlawful or unfair business practices;
- (e) whether Schwab breached its duty of care to Plaintiff and Class members;
 - (f) whether the Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to injunctive relief,
- (g) whether Schwab has been unjustly enriched by its improper course of action; and
- (h) whether Plaintiff and members of the Class are entitled to equitable relief, and the proper measure of that equitable relief.

-8

Case No.

2.7

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

38.	Typicality.	Plaintiff's clain	is are	typical	of the	claims	of the	members	of	the
Class in that P	laintiff is a r	nember of the Cl	ass th	at he see	eks to re	enresent				

- 39. Adequacy of Representation. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Class. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in the prosecution of this type of class action litigation. Plaintiff has no adverse or antagonistic interests to those of the Class.
- 40. Superiority. A class action is superior to all other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Individualized litigation would create the danger of inconsistent or contradictory judgments arising from the same set of facts. Individualized litigation would also increase the delay and expense to all parties and the court system from the issues raised by this action. The burden and expense that would be entailed by individual litigation makes it impracticable or impossible for Class members to prosecute their claims individually. Further, the adjudication of this action presents no unusual management difficulties.
- 41. In the alternative, the Class also may be certified because Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class thereby making final declaratory and/or injunctive relief with respect to the members of the Class as a whole, appropriate
- 42. Plaintiff seeks preliminary and permanent injunctive and equitable relief on behalf of the Class, on grounds generally applicable to the Class, to enjoin and prevent Defendant from engaging in the acts described, and to require Defendant to provide full restitution to Plaintiff and Class members.

COUNT I

Unlawful and Unfair Business Practices in Violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq.

43. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges each and every allegation contained above, as though fully set forth herein.

27

///

26

28 | ///

9

	44.	Plaintiff,	on	behalf	of h	imself	and	the	Class,	brings	this	cause	of	action	for
violat	ions of t	he "unlaw	ful"	and "u	ınfair	" pron	igs o	f the	Unfair	r Comp	etitic	n Law	, C	al. Bus	s. &
Prof.	Code §§	17200, et	seq.	("UCI	_;").										

- 45. Plaintiff and Defendant are "persons" within the meaning of the UCL. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17201.
- 46. The UCL defines unfair competition to include any "unlawful" or "unfair" "business act or practice." Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200.
- 47. By committing the acts and practices alleged herein, Schwab has engaged in unlawful and unfair business practices in violation of the UCL.
- 48. Unlawful Conduct: In the course of conducting business, as a result of engaging in the conduct alleged in this Complaint, including failing to ensure that its systems follow the trading instructions provided by Plaintiff and Class members, Schwab has violated § 17200's prohibition against engaging in unlawful acts and practices by virtue of its conduct, which constitutes negligence and unjust enrichment.
- 49. Plaintiff reserves the right to allege other violations of law, which constitute other unlawful business acts or practices. Such conduct is ongoing and continues to this date.
- 50. Unfair Conduct: Schwab's acts and practices as alleged herein also constitute "unfair" business acts and practices within the meaning of Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, et seq. In the course of conduct business, Schwab has violated the UCL's proscription against unfair business practices by, among other things failing to take reasonable steps to ensure that its trading system carries out Plaintiff and Class members' instructions fully, promptly and accurately, failing to regularly and rigorously examine trade execution quality, failing to undo the trades its defective trading system executes, failing to close short positions as instructed, purchasing long positions that were not ordered, charging margin interest rates on the unwanted long positions, causing Plaintiff and Class members to use and exceed their margin buying power by virtue of the trades not executed as instructed, and not compensating Plaintiff and Class members for its negligence.

equitable relief.

1	31. Schwab's wiongrue conduct is substantially injurious to consumers, offends
2	legislatively-declared public policy, and is immoral, unethical, oppressive, and unscrupulous.
3	Schwab's practices are also contrary to legislatively declared and public policies that seek to
4	foster trust and transparency in the securities marketplace. The gravity of Schwab's wrongful
5	conduct outweighs any alleged benefits attributable to such conduct. There were reasonably
6	available alternatives to further Schwab's legitimate business interests other than engaging in
7	the above-described wrongful conduct.
8	52. Plaintiff is a "person" within the meaning of Business & Professions Code
9	section 17204, has suffered injury, and lost money or property, and therefore has standing to

53. Pursuant to Business & Professions Code sections 17203 and 17205, Plaintiff seeks an injunction prohibiting Schwab from continuing such practices, restitution and all other relief this Court deems appropriate.

bring this cause of action for injunctive relief, restitution, disgorgement, and other appropriate

COUNT II

Negligence

- 54. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges each and every allegation contained above, as though fully set forth herein.
- 55. The relationship between a customer (e.g., Plaintiff and Class members) and his/her broker-dealer (e.g., Schwab) is that of principal and agent. The broker, as agent, has a duty to carry out its customer's instructions promptly and accurately and to ensure the mechanisms it uses for this purpose function properly.
- 56. Schwab owed duties to Plaintiff and the Class, including a duty of care to act with the care, competence, and diligence normally exercised by brokers in similar circumstances.
- 57. Pursuant to its duty of care, Schwab was required to comply with the trading instructions of Plaintiff and Class members and to diligently and competently fulfill their trade requests.

	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

5	58.	By	using	its	defective	automa	ated t	rading	systen	ns to	process	the	investm	ıen
orders p	laced l	by P	laintiff	f and	d Class r	nembers	s, whi	ch faile	d to ex	cecute	their in	vesti	nent ord	lers
as instru	icted a	nd p	urchas	sed 1	unwante	d securit	ies in	stead,	Schwal) was	neglige	nt an	id breacl	hec
ita dutia	c ovvoc	l to I	Dlaintif	¥ an	d the Cl	acc.								

- But for Schwab's negligent conduct, Plaintiff's and Class members' trades 59. would have been executed as instructed: they would have closed short positions as desired in a timely manner, they would not have acquired long positions of stock they did not instruct Schwab to purchase for their accounts, they would not have been forced to take the risks of owning and selling the unwanted stock, they would not have been assessed and paid fees and commissions for selling the unwanted stock, they would not have been forced to spend the time and effort to sell the unwanted stock, and they would not have depleted their margin buying power as a result of buying the unwanted shares.
- 60. The injury and harm suffered by Plaintiff and the other Class members was the reasonably foreseeable result of Schwab's failure to exercise reasonable care in executing the investment trades as instructed.
- 61. Schwab's customers have been damaged as a result of Schwab's negligence, in an amount to be determined at trial.

COUNT III

Unjust Enrichment

- 62. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges each and every allegation contained above, as though fully set forth herein.
- By its wrongful conduct, Schwab was unjustly enriched at the expense of and to 63. the detriment of Plaintiff and the Class. Schwab was unjustly enriched as a result of the compensation it received from processing investment trades in the opposite way instructed by Plaintiff and members of the Class.
- 64. Plaintiff and the Class seek restitution from Schwab and seek an order of this Court disgorging all profits, benefits, and other compensation obtained by Schwab from its wrongful conduct.

STEVEN M. MCKANY (271405) DARNELL R. DONAHUE (281926) Case No. CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

BLOOD HURST & O'REARDON, LLP	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Tel: 619/525-3990 619/525-3991 (fax) kseely@robbinsIlp.com smckany@robbinsIlp.com ddonahue@robbinsIlp.com Attorneys for Plaintiff
	9	
	10	
LLP	11	
DON,	12	
REAR	13	
, 0	14	
ST &	15	
Hu	16	
31.001	17	
 -(
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27 28	
	۷٥	
00164492		

CM-040

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Ear in Timothy G. Blood (149343)	mber, and address): [SEE ATTACHMENT A	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Blood Hurst & O'Reardon, LLP 501 West Broadway, Suite 1490, San Diego, C	CA 92101	
TELEPHONE NO: 619/338-1100 ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff	FAX No. (Optional): .619/338-1101	San Francisco County Superior Court
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY O STREET ADDRESS: 400 McAllister Street	F-SAN FRANCISCO	JUN 2 3 2020
MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: Sent Francisco 94102-4515		
BRANCH NAME: CIVIC Center Courthouse	。 アニスタン、TTMではないできます。 アニスタン、TTMではないないできます。	CLERK OF THE CAURE
CASE NAME: Robert Wright v. Charles Schwab & Co., Inc.	Same and the second of the second	Deputy Clerk
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER:
X Unlimited Limited (Amount (Amount	Counter Joinder	June: CGC -20-585092
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defendant (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	DEPTA
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000)	low must be completed (see instructions or	1. Jan
1. Check one box below for the case type the	MANAGAN ARTON MANAGAN M	
Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation
Auto (22) Uninsured motorist (46)	Jan Diedon Silven auch Andrews	Cal. Rules of Court; rules 3.400-3.403) Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Rule 3.740 collections (09) Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass fort (40)
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
Product liability (24) Medical malpractice (45)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30) Insurance coverage claims arising from the
Other PI/PD/WD (23):	Eminent domain/Inverse L condemnation (14)	above listed provisionally complex case
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort		types (41) Enforcement of Judgment
Business tort/unfair business practice (07	Other real property (26)	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer Commercial (31)	fliscellaneous Civil Complaint
Defamation (13) Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)
Intellectual property (19)	Deirection.	X Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	Partnership and comporate governance (21):
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Other pelltion (not specified above) (43)
Employment Wrongful termination (36)	Petition re arbitration award (11) Writ of mandate (02)	
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
2. This case x is snot com	plex under rule 3,400 of the California Rule	es of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
factors requiring exceptional judicial manag		
a. Large number of separately repre	an State State of the same and the same of	of witnesses. with related actions pending in one or more
issues that will be time-consuming	The programments were an experience of the programme of t	counties, states, or countries, or in a federal
c. Substantial amount of documenta	ry evidence court	Ligano tali en 1890 foi espotamentato cottato con essidi espotati
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply) a		stjudgment judicial supervision claratory or injunctive relief c; punitive
4. Number of causes of action (specify): 3 V		
	ass action sult.	
6. If there are any known related cases, file a Date: June 19, 2020	nd serve a notice of related case. (You ma	y use form CM-015.)
Timothy G. Blood		10000
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the fi		
in sanctions.	venace and institutions code). (Cat. Rules	of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
· File this cover sheet in addition to any cove	r sheet required by local court rule.	
 If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et so other parties to the action or proceeding. 	eg, of the California Rules of Court, you m	ust serve a copy of this cover sheet en all a
Unless this is a collections case under rule	3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet	Pagy For 2
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California	CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Cal. Rules of Coldisated 2.3055.220, 3.400-3.403, 3.740. Cal. Standards of Judicial Administration, sid. 3.10

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California CM-010 (Rev. July 1, 2007)

Robert Wright v. Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. San Francisco Superior Court - Civic Center Courthouse

ATTACHMENT TO CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET (CM-010)

Attorneys for Plaintiff Robert Wright.

BLÓOD HURST & O'REARDON LLP Timothy G. Blood (149343) Thomas J. O'Reardon, II (247952) Aleksandr J. Yarmolinets (276707) 501 West Broadway, Suite 1490 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: 619/338-1100 619/338-1101 (fax) tblood@bholaw.com

toreardon@bholaw.com ayarmolinets@bholaw.com ROBBINS LLP
Kevin A. Seely (199982)
Steven M. McKany (271405)
Darnell R. Donahue (281926)
5040 Shoreham Place
San Diego, CA 92122
Tel: 619/525-3990
619/525-3991 (fax)
kseely@robbinsllp.com
smckany@robbinsllp.com
ddonahue@robbinsllp.com

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in Item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper, Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2,30 and 3,220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases, A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed In a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

the case is complex. **Auto Tort** Auto (22)-Personal injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto) Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Asbestos (04) Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injuryi Wrongful Death

Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24) Medical Malpractice (45) Medical Maloractice Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care Maloractice Other PI/PD/WD (23) Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism) Intentional Infliction of **Emolional Distress**

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort Business Tort/Unfair Business Practice (07)

Other PI/PD/WD

Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08)

Negligent Infliction of

Emotional Distress

Defemation (e.g., slander, libel) (13)Fraud (16)

Intellectual Property (19) Professional Negligence (25) Legal Malpractice Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal) Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Employment Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence) Negligent Breach of Contract/ Warranty Other Breach of Contract/Warranty

Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09) Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Nate/Collections Case

Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18)

Auto Subrogation Other Coverage Other Contract (37) Contractual Fraud

Other Contract Dispute Real Property

Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14) Wrongful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet little) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property

Mortgage Foreclosure Quiet Title

Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or foreclosure)

Unlawful Detainer Commercial (31) Residential (32)

Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise; report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review Asset Forfeiture (05)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11) Writ of Mandate (02)

Writ-Administrative Mandamus Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case Review

Other Judicial Review (39) Review of Health Officer Order Notice of Appeal–Labor Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3,400-3,403)

CM-010

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40) Securities Litigation (28) Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)

Insurance Coverage Claims (arising from provisionally complex

case type listed above) (41)

Enforcement of Judgment Enforcement of Judgment (20) Abstract of Judgment (Out of County)

Confession of Judgment (nondomestic relations) Sister State Judgment Administrative Agency Award

(not unpaid taxes) Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes Other Enforcement of Judgment

Case

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint RICO (27)

Other Complaint (not specified above) (42) Declaratory Relief Only

Injunctive Relief Only (nonharassment) Mechanics Lien

Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) Other Civil Complaint

(non-tort/non-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition Partnership and Corporate Governance (21)

Other Petition (not specified above) (43) Civil Harassment

Workplace Violence Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse **Election Contest** Petition for Name Change Petition for Relief From Late

Page 2 of 2

Claim Other Civil Petition